



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,390	08/01/2000	Hiroshi Mizumura	0879-0269P	5731

2292 7590 11/01/2005

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

SELBY, GEVELL V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/630,390

Applicant(s)

MIZUMURA, HIROSHI

Examiner

Gevell Selby

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 9-14, 16, 19, 30, 32, 35 and 46-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 9-14, 16, 19, 30, 32 and 35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 46-52 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed 8/8/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 U.S.C 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Masunaga, US 5,838,368.
2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regard to claims 1, 4, 9, 11, 12, 14 and 30.

The applicant submits that the prior art does not disclose the limitations of:

1) "wherein the control part executes the control based on the limit function prior to the view angle correction function in a case where the zoom lens moves to an outside limit position based on the limit function by executing the control based on the view angle correction function" as claimed in claim 4;

2) "wherein the control part comprises a position single fixing device which fixes, when executing the control based on the view angle correction function, a value of position signal outputted to the controller to a value representing a position of the zoom lens before executing the control based on the view angle correction function" as claimed in claim 9;

3) "wherein the control part comprises a limit function position determining device... value of the position signal" as claimed in claim 11;

4) “wherein the control has a limit function..... based on the position signal” and the “a limit position determining device...value of the position signal” as claimed in claim 12;

5) “where the controller is configured to perform the shot function prior to performing the view angle correction function configured to perform the shot function prior to performing the view angle correction function when the shot function is activated” as claimed in claim 14; and

6) “controlling a movement of a zoom lens according to a priority of performing in a view angle correction function and at least one of a limit function and a shot function” as claimed in claim 30. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Examiner's Reply:

Re claim 4) Kasuya teaches to give priority to zooming, such that no correction of a change of angle of view caused by focusing is performed while zooming (see column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2). It is implied by the combination of Kasuya, Uchida, and Masunaga, that the limit function is always active whenever the zoom lens is moving to keep the lens between the maximum and minimum zoom range and zooming in the limit function will then have priority.

Re claim 9) In regard to claim 9, the Kasuya reference discloses wherein the control part comprises a position single fixing device or position detector (7) which fixes, when executing the control based on the view angle correction function, a value of position signal (ZPorg: reference zooming position signal) outputted to the controller or arithmetic unit (3) to a value representing a position of the zoom lens before executing the control based on the view angle correction function (see column 3, lines 14-34).

Art Unit: 2615

Re claim 11) In regard to claim 11, the Yu reference discloses where the control part comprises a limit position determining device (20 and 90) wherein the position of the lens is determined by the encoder (20) (see column 4, lines 8-10) and the zoom motor driver (90) detects the change in the control signal with respect to the changed positional signal. The Yu reference discloses that the controller uses the track lines stored in the ROM in a limit function to control the movement range and positions of the lens with in the track lines (see column 5, lines 20-44 and column 6, lines 3-15). It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens and does not move out of the range.

Re claim 12) In regard to claim 12, the Yu reference discloses that the controller uses the track lines stored in the ROM in a limit function to control the movement range and positions of the lens with in the track lines (see column 5, lines 20-44 and column 6, lines 3-15). It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens and does not move out of the range. The control part comprises a limit position determining device (20 and 90) wherein the position of the lens is determined by the encoder (20) (see column 4, lines 8-10) and the zoom motor driver (90) detects the change in the control signal with respect to the changed positional signal.

Re claims 14 and 30) Kasuya teaches to give priority to zooming, such that no correction of a change of angle of view caused by focusing is performed while zooming (see column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2). It is implied by the combination of Kasuya, Uchida, and Masunaga, that the shot function will have priority over view angle correction, because the zooming involved in the shot function has priority.

Art Unit: 2615

Therefore, the prior art references disclose all the claim limitations of the claims explained above and their dependent claims are not allowable based on their dependency.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kasuya, US 6,134,390.**

In regard to claim 9, Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses a lens apparatus, comprising:

- a focus lens (see figure 1, element 10);
- a zoom lens (see figure 1, element 6);
- a controller (see figure 1, element 3); and

a control part (see figure 1, elements 5, 9, 7, 11) which executes a control for moving the zoom lens based on a control signal provided from the controller and executes a control based on a view angle correction function for moving the zoom lens to a position to prevent changing of a view angle due to moving of the focus lens (see column 3, lines 1-34),

wherein the controller obtains, from the control part, a position signal representing a position of the zoom lens (see column 3, lines 14-20),

wherein the control part obtains, from the controller, a control signal for moving the zoom lens to a target position set by the controller according to the position signal (see column 4, lines 1-5 and 21-25),

wherein the control part comprises a position signal fixing device (see figure 1 element 7) which fixes, when executing the control based on the view angle correction function, a value of the position signal outputted from the control part to the controller to a value representing a position of the zoom lens (ZPorg) before executing the control based on the view angle correction function (see column 3, lines 14-34).

In regard to claim 10, Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses the lens apparatus as defined in claim 9, wherein the position of the zoom lens before executing the control based on the view angle correction function is a position where the zoom lens is stopped by the control based on the control signal provided from the controller (see column 3, lines 30-41: The arithmetic unit controls the stopping and starting of the zoom lens before during and after view angle correction).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368.**

In regard to claim 1, Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses a lens system (see figure 1), comprising:

a lens apparatus including a movable lens (see figure 1, elements 6 and 10) and a motor (see figure 1, elements 5 and 9), one of a position and a moving rate of the movable lens being controlled with the motor (see column 2, lines 39-50);

a controller connected with the lens apparatus (see figure 1, elements z and F); and

a control part mounted in one of the lens apparatus and the controller (see figure 1, element 3),

wherein the lens system executes a control of the movable lens based on one of a control function provided in the lens apparatus (see column 3, lines 14, 34) and a control function provided in the controller (see column 2, lines 28-37),

wherein the control part obtains contents of a control of the movable lens based on the one of the control functions, and the control part executes the control

of the movable lens based on the obtained contents of the control (see column 3, lines 14-34),

wherein the control function provided in the lens apparatus includes a view angle correction function which is an operation of moving a zoom lens to prevent a change of a view angle due to moving of a focus lens (see column 1, lines 60-66);

wherein the control of the movable lens includes a control of a zoom lens (see figure 1, element 6 and column 2, lines 39-44); and

the control part validates the control based on the view angle function (see column 1, lines 60-64).

The Kasuya reference does not disclose:

wherein the control function provided in the controller includes at least one of a shot function and a limit function, wherein the shot function is an operation of controlling the zoom from a current zoom setting to a preset zoom setting, and wherein the limit function is an operation of controlling zoom to restrict the zoom settings to a preset range and

wherein the zoom lens moves to and stops at a shot position by the control based on the shot function.

Uchida, US 5,929,904, discloses a camera with a lens system with a shot function wherein the camera moves to a preset location and zoom setting when one of the preset buttons is pressed (see column 7, lines 4-37) and a limit function wherein the zooming of the camera is controlled by the telephoto button (52) and a wide angle button (54) to

Art Unit: 2615

zoom (see column 3, lines 31-35: It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens.). Uchida discloses wherein the zoom lens moves to and stops at a shot position by the control based on the shot function (see figure 6 and column 6, line 65 to column 7, lines 3)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, to have at least one of a shot function or limit function as claimed in claim 1, in order to make the camera easier to use by zooming to a preset setting by pressing a button.

The Kasuya reference and the Uchida reference do not disclose wherein when the controls to be executed in the control part are overlapped at the same time, the control part selects one of the controls to execute in accordance with a predetermined process.

Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses a camera with a lens system uses a priority designating device to set the priority of one of the systems control functions (see column 26, line 64 to column 27, line 6). The controller moves the system according to which function is set to have priority, such that that function is performed first (see column 27, lines 7-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, wherein when the controls to be executed in the control part are overlapped at the same time, the control part selects

one of the controls to execute in accordance with a predetermined process, in order to prevent the need for functions to be performed multiple times, saving time and power.

8. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu, US 5,434,621, in view of Kasuya, US 6,134,390.

In regard to claim 11, Yu, US 5,434,621, discloses a lens apparatus, comprising:

a focus lens (see figure 3, element 12);

a zoom lens (see figure 3, element 11);

a controller (see figure 3, element 80); and

a control part (see figure 3, elements 20, 90) which executes a control for moving the zoom lens based on a control signal provided from the controller (see column 4, lines 40-44) and executes a control based on a view angle correction function for moving the zoom lens to a position to prevent changing of a view angle (see column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 4);

wherein the controller has a limit function for obtaining, from the control part, a position signal representing a position of the zoom lens (see figure 3, elements 20 and column 4, lines 8-10) and for restricting a moving range of the zoom lens so that the zoom lens does not move to an outside of a predetermined limit position based on the position signal (see column 5, lines 20-44 and column 6, lines 3-15: The controller uses the track lines stored in the ROM in a limit function to control the movement range and positions of the lens.), wherein the control part comprises:

a limit position determining device (see figure 3, elements 20 and 90) which determines the limit position by changing a value of the position signal being outputted from the control part to the controller from a value representing an actual position of the zoom lens (see column 4, lines 8-10 and column 6, lines 58-62) and detecting a change of the control signal outputted from the controller with respect to the changed value of the position signal (see column 4, lines 40-45 and figure 2, step S5, column 5, lines 45-58, and column 6, line 63- column 7, line 4);

[When the object being captured moves or the photographer moves, the camera detects the zoom lens is out of position or outside of the limit and corrects the viewing angle by moving the zoom lens]; and

a restricting device (see figure 3, element 80) which restricts a moving range of the zoom lens so that the zoom lens does not move to an outside of the limit position determined by the limit position determining device (see column 6, line 65 to column 7, line 4: It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens and does not move out of the range).

The Yu reference does not disclose the view angle correction function the zoom lens position due to the movement of the focus lens.

Kasuya, US 6,134, 390, discloses a camera system wherein the control function provided in the lens apparatus includes a view angle correction function which is an

operation of moving a zoom lens to prevent a change of a view angle due to moving of a focus lens (see column 1, lines 60-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Yu, US 5,434,621, in view of Kasuya, US 6,134,390, to have a view angle correction function which is an operation of moving a zoom lens due to moving of a focus lens in order to prevent a change of a view angle.

In regard to claim 12, Yu, 5,434,621, discloses a lens apparatus, comprising:

- a focus lens (see figure 3, element 11);

- a zoom lens (see figure 3, element 12);

- a controller (see figure 3, element 80); and

- a control part (see figure 3, elements 20 and 90) which executes a control for moving the zoom lens based on a control signal provided from the controller (see column 4, lines 40-44) and executes a control based on a view angle correction function for moving the zoom lens to a position to prevent changing of a view angle (see column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 4),

wherein the controller has a limit function for obtaining, from the control part, a position signal representing a position of the zoom lens (see figure 3, elements 20 and column 4, lines 8-10) and for restricting a moving range of the zoom lens so that the zoom lens does not move to an outside of a predetermined limit position based on the position signal (see column 5, lines 20-25), wherein the control part comprises:

a position signal fixing device (see figure 3, element 80) which fixes, when executing the control based on the view angle correction function by the control part, a value of the position signal outputted from the control part (see column 5, lines 2-9) to the controller to a value representing a position of the zoom lens before executing the control based on the view angle correction function (see figure 2, step S5, column 5, lines 45-58, and column 6, line 63- column 7, line 4);

[When the object being captured moves or the photographer moves, the camera detects the zoom lens is out of position or outside of the limit and corrects the viewing angle by moving the zoom lens.];

a limit position determining device (see figure 3, elements 20 and 90) which determines the limit position by changing a value of the position signal being outputted from the control part to the controller from a value representing an actual position of the zoom lens (see column 4, lines 8-10 and column 6, lines 58-62) and detecting a change of the control signal outputted from the controller with respect to the changed value of the position signal (see column 4, lines 40-45 and figure 2, step S5, column 5, lines 45-58, and column 6, line 63- column 7, line 4); and

a restricting device (see figure 3, element 80) which restricts a moving range of the zoom lens so that the zoom lens does not move to an outside of the limit position determined by the limit position determining device (see figure 4 and column 6, line 63- column 7, line 4: It is inherent that the zoom function is

operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens and does not move out of the range).

The Yu reference does not disclose the view angle correction function moves the zoom lens due to moving of the focus lens.

Kasuya, US 6,134, 390, discloses a camera system wherein the control function provided in the lens apparatus includes a view angle correction function which is an operation of moving a zoom lens to prevent a change of a view angle due to moving of a focus lens (see column 1, lines 60-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Yu, US 5,434,621, in view of Kasuya, US 6,134,390, to have a view angle correction function which is an operation of moving a zoom lens due to moving of a focus lens in order to prevent a change of a view angle.

In regard to claim 13, Yu, US 5,434,621, in view of Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses the lens apparatus as defined in claim 12. The Yu reference discloses that when the control signal provided from the controller changes by at least a predetermined value in a case where the position signal fixing device fixes the position signal, the control part executes a control for moving the zoom lens based on the control signal and the position signal fixing device returns the position signal to a value indicating an actual position of the zoom lens (see column 6, line 58 to column 7, line 4).

9. Claims 4, 14, 16, 19, 28-30, 32, 35, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasuya, US 6,134,390, in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368.

In regard to claim 4, Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses a lens system (see figure 1), comprising:

a lens apparatus including a movable lens (see figure 1, elements 6 and 10) and a motor (see figure 1, elements 5 and 9), one of a position and a moving rate of the movable lens being controlled with the motor (see column 2, lines 39-50);

a controller connected with the lens apparatus (see figure 1, elements z and F); and

a control part mounted in one of the lens apparatus and the controller (see figure 1, element 3),

wherein the lens system executes a control of the movable lens based on one of a control function provided in the lens apparatus (see column 3, lines 14, 34) and a control function provided in the controller (see column 2, lines 28-37),

wherein the control part obtains contents of a control of the movable lens based on the one of the control functions, and the control part executes the control of the movable lens based on the obtained contents of the control (see column 3, lines 14-34),

wherein the control function provided in the lens apparatus includes a view angle correction function which is an operation of moving a zoom lens to prevent a change of a view angle due to moving of a focus lens (see column 1, lines 60-66);

wherein the control of the movable lens includes a control of a zoom lens (see figure 1, element 6 and column 2, lines 39-44);

wherein the zoom lens moves to and stops at a shot position by the control based on the shot function (see Uchida: see figure 6 and column 6, line 65 to column 7, lines 3), the control part validates the control based on the view angle function (see Kasuya: column 1, lines 60-64).

The Kasuya reference does not disclose:

wherein the control function provided in the controller includes at least one of a shot function and a limit function, wherein the shot function is an operation of controlling the zoom from a current zoom setting to a preset zoom setting, and wherein the limit function is an operation of controlling zoom to restrict the zoom settings to a preset range.

Uchida, US 5,929,904, discloses a camera with a lens system with a shot function wherein the camera moves to a preset location and zoom setting when one of the preset buttons is pressed (see column 7, lines 4-37) and a limit function wherein the zooming of the camera is controlled by the telephoto button (52) and a wide angle button (54) to zoom (see column 3, lines 31-35: It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, to have at least one of a control function or limit function as claimed in claim 1, in order to make the camera easier to use by zooming to a preset setting by pressing a button.

The Kasuya reference and the Uchida reference do not disclose that the control part executes the control based on the limit function prior to the view angle correction function in a case where the zoom lens moves to an outside of a limit position based on the limit function by executing the control based on the view angle correction function.

Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses a camera with a lens system uses a priority designating device to set the priority of one of the systems control functions (see column 26, line 64 to column 27, line 6). The controller moves the system according to which function is set to have priority, such that that function is performed first (see column 27, lines 7-15). Kasuya teaches to give priority to zooming, such that no correction of a change of angle of view caused by focusing is performed while zooming (see column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of configure Kasuya in view of Uchida and further in view of Masunaga to have the control part execute the control based on the limit function prior to the view angle correction function in any case where the zoom lens moves, in order to prevent the need for multiple view angle corrections.

In regard to claims 14 and 30, Kasuya, US 6,134,390, discloses a lens control system and method for controlling the system, comprising:

a zoom lens (see figure 1 , element 6); and

a controller configured to control a movement of the zoom lens according to a priority of performing a view angle correction function, wherein the view

angle correction function is an operation of moving the zoom lens to prevent a change of a view angle due to moving of a focus lens.

The Kasuya reference does not disclose at least one of a limit function and a shot function, wherein the shot function is an operation of controlling the movement of the zoom lens from a current position to a preset position, and wherein the limit function is an operation of restricting the movement of the zoom lens to a preset range.

Uchida, US 5,929,904, discloses a camera with a lens system with a shot function wherein the camera moves to a preset location and zoom setting when one of the preset buttons is pressed (see column 7, lines 4-37) and a limit function wherein the zooming of the camera is controlled by the telephoto button (52) and a wide angle button (54) to zoom (see column 3, lines 31-35: It is inherent that the zoom function is operated within a preset maximum and minimum zoom range according to the specifications on the zoom lens.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, to have at least one of a control function or limit function as claimed in claim 1, in order to make the camera easier to use by zooming to a preset setting by pressing a button.

The Kasuya reference and the Uchida reference do not disclose that the controller is configured to perform the shot function prior to performing the view angle correction

function when the shot function is activated, and wherein the view angle correction function is performed based on the image of the object after performing the shot function.

Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses a camera with a lens system uses a priority designating device to set the priority of one of the systems control functions, including a zoom function (see column 26, line 64 to column 27, line 6). The controller moves the system according to which function is set to have priority, such that that function is performed first (see column 27, lines 7-15). Kasuya teaches to give priority to zooming, such that no correction of a change of angle of view caused by focusing is performed while zooming (see column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of configure Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, to have shot function, wherein the lens is moved, prior to performing the view angle correction function when the shot function is activated, and wherein the view angle correction function is performed based on the image of the object after performing the shot function, in order to prevent the need for multiple view angle corrections.

In regard to claims 16 and 32, Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses the lens system and method for controlling the system as defined in claims 14 and 30, respectively. The Kasuya reference discloses that the controller is configured to control a zoom lens movement rate based on a difference between the preset position and the

current position (see column 3, lines 61-67). The Kasuya reference discloses further comprising:

a zoom lens movement rate limit setting device configured to set a zoom lens movement rate limit, wherein the controller is configured to control the zoom lens movement rate also based on the zoom lens movement rate limit (see column 4, lines 5-25);

wherein the zoom lens moving rate of the zoom lens decreases at a proximity of a limit position (It is inherent the moving rate of the zoom lens decreases at a proximity of the end unit position of the wide or tele end of the lens track, so that the lens can stop).

In regard to claims 19 and 35, Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses the lens control system and method for controlling the system as defined in claims 14 and 30, respectively. It is implied in the Uchida reference that the controller is configured to restrict the movement of the zoom lens to the preset range when performing the view angle correction function when the limit function is activated because the zoom lens cannot move outside the preset maximum and minimum setting of the telephoto and wide-angle buttons (see column 3, lines 31-35).

In regard to claims 28 and 44, Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses the lens control system and method for controlling the system as defined in claims 14 and 30, respectively. The Uchida reference discloses a limit function setting device configured to set at least one of a telephoto limit and a wide photo limit (see column 3, lines 31-35).

Art Unit: 2615

In regard to claims 29 and 45, Kasuya, US 6,134,390 in view of Uchida, US 5,929,904, and further in view of Masunaga et al., US 5,838,368, discloses the lens control system and method for controlling the system as defined in claims 28 and 44, respectively. The Uchida reference discloses that least one of a telephoto limit and a wide photo limit is manually settable (see column 3, lines 31-35).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 46-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2615

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 571-272-7369. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gvs


DAVID L. OMETZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT
EXAMINER